Reply dated March 4, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 04, 2009

Docket No.: 2114-0116PUS1

Art Unit: 1633 Page 12 of 18

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

After entry of the instant amendment, claims 2 and 4-9 are pending in the present

application. Claims 1 and 3 have been cancelled and claim 2 has been amended without

prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. Claims 4-7 have been withdrawn

from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention. New claims 8 and 9 have been

added.

Support for the amendment of claim 2 and new claims 8 and 9 can at least be found at

page 7, line 17 to page 9, line 12; the scheme in Synthesis Example 1; and original claims 1 and

3 of the Specification. Thus, no new matter has been added by way of amendment to the claims.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Sequence Compliance

It is stated in the Office Action that the Specification includes sequence disclosures that

are not in compliance with requirements for patent applications containing nucleotide sequences.

Enclosed herewith in full compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825 is a Sequence

Listing to be inserted into the Specification as indicated above. The Sequence Listing in no way

introduces new matter into the Specification. Also submitted herewith in full compliance with

37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825 is an electronic CRF copy of the Sequence Listing. The electronic

CRF copy of the Sequence Listing, file "2010-03-042114-0116PUS1_ST25.txt" is identical to

the paper copy, except that it lacks formatting. The enclosed paper copy and the electronic CRF

copy of the Sequence Listing do not include new matter.

The Specification is amended to properly identify each disclosed sequence with a

corresponding sequence identification number (SEQ ID NO). No new matter is introduced by

these amendments.

Reply dated March 4, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 04, 2009

Docket No.: 2114-0116PUS1

Art Unit: 1633 Page 13 of 18

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging Applicants' claim for foreign priority

under 35 U.S.C. § 119, and receipt of copies of the certified copies of the priority documents

from the International Bureau.

In the Office Action, it is stated that Applicants cannot rely upon the copies of the foreign

priority documents to overcome rejections, because a translation of the documents has not been

made of record in the present application. Applicants are co-filing herewith a certified English

translation of Japanese Patent Application No. 2004-055086 filed at the Japanese Patent Office

on February 27, 2004, to which the present application claims priority.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, Indefiniteness

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants

regard as the invention. Claims 1 and 3 have been cancelled and their rejection is moot.

In the Office Action, it is asserted that the phrases "azobenzene, spiropyran, stilbene, and

derivatives thereof" and "3'-side end" are not sufficiently defined by the Specification or claims.

Claim 2 does not recite a "3'-side end," as it is unnecessary in view of the Formulae recited in

the claim. Thus, this issue is obviated.

While Applicants respectfully disagree with the assertion that the phrase "derivatives

thereof" (as it relates to azobenzene, spiropyran and stilbene) is not sufficiently defined by the

Specification or claims, in order to expedite prosecution of the present application, Applicants

have amended claim 2 to recite "azobenzene, azobenzene derivatives, spiropyran, and stilbene,"

and to incorporate the azobenzene derivatives recited in original claim 3 (now cancelled). In

view of the discussion above, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 2 under

35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Liu et al.,

"Light-regulated Catalysis by an RNA-cleaving Deoxyribozyme," Journal of Molecular Biology,

August 2004, Vol. 341, pp. 887-892 (hereinafter "Liu").

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

MSW/SAW:kml

Application No.: 10/590,777 Docket No.: 2114-0116PUS1 Art Unit: 1633

Reply dated March 4, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 04, 2009

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Kuramochi et al., "Light-regulated Catalysis by an RNA-cleaving Deoxyribozyme," CSJ: Japan Chemical

Society of Japan Preprints, Vol. 84, No. 2, March 11, 2004, p. 1070 (hereinafter "Kuramochi").

Claims 1 and 3 have been cancelled and their rejection is now moot.

As discussed above, Applicants are co-filing herewith a certified copy of an English

translation of Japanese Patent Application No. 2004-055086 filed at the Japanese Patent Office

on February 27, 2004, to which the present application claims priority.

Kuramochi are not available as prior art, and Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of

claim 2 as being anticipated by Liu or Kuramochi be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and § 103(a)

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Yamazawa et al., Polymer Preprints,

Japan, Vol. 50, No. 5 (2001), p. 977 (hereinafter "Yamazawa"). Claims 1 and 3 have been

cancelled and their rejection is therefore moot. Applicants respectfully traverse rejection of

claim 2 as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, as being obvious over Yamazawa.

An article by the Applicants (Asanuma et al., Chem. Commun. 2006, 5062-5064

(hereinafter "Asanuma")), which was published after the application date, is being submitted

with the co-filed IDS for the Examiner's reference and to aid in distinguishing the claimed

invention over Yamazawa.

The claimed invention is directed to a DNA enzyme comprising a catalytically active

loop domain flanked by substrate binding arm I and II (see Fig. 1 of Asanuma reference

submitted with the co-filed IDS). The claimed DNA enzyme is modified by inserting

azobenzene, an azobenzene derivative, spiropyran, or stilbene into a site located within the loop

domain at the junction with binding arm II, as shown for insertion of t-azobenzene into site "X"

in Fig 1 of Azanuma.

Yamazawa is a publication presented by the Applicants at the annual meeting of "The

Society of Polymer Science", Japan, and an English translation of Yamazawa is being submitted

with the co-filed IDS. Yamazawa discloses a DNA enzyme with an azobenzene group inserted

at a location within binding arm I or II. This DNA enzyme showed a poor capability to be

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

MSW/SAW:kml

Page 14 of 18

Docket No.: 2114-0116PUS1 Application No.: 10/590,777 Art Unit: 1633

Reply dated March 4, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 04, 2009

controlled by light irradiation and a lower activity level than that of the native DNA enzyme.

The claimed invention cannot be anticipated by Yamazawa, because Yamazawa does not teach every element of the claimed invention. Specifically, Yamazawa does not teach a DNA enzyme modified by insertion of an azobenzene group, an azobenzene derivative group, a spiropyran group, or a stilbene group as recited in claim 2 (at the junction of the loop domain and binding arm II).

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claim 2 as being obvious over Yamazawa. In contrast to the DNA enzyme disclosed by Yamazawa, which has no practical use, the modified DNA enzyme of the claimed invention shows improved RNA cleavage activity compared to the native enzyme and its activity is controllable by light. These results are summarized in the penultimate paragraph of the Asanuma publication.

At the time the claimed invention was made, it was thought that although the sequence of the substrate-recognition domains (arm I and II) could be changed, the complete loop sequence was required for retaining activity, and therefore could not be altered. This is described in the publication by S. W. Santoro and G. F. Joyce (PNAS, USA, 1997; 94:4262-4266), which was previously submitted with an IDS filed November 27, 2006. The 10-23 DNA enzyme described by Santoro corresponds to the native form of the DNA enzyme disclosed in the present Specification.

Santoro disclosed that although the sequence of the binding domains could be changed to affect specificity for various RNA substrates without loss of catalytic activity, the catalytic loop domain of the 10-23 DNA enzyme was completely intolerant of variation (Santoro page 4265, last paragraph of left column). The present Specification discloses that it is the site in the catalytically active loop at the junction with binding arm II (recited in the Formulae of claim 2), and not binding arm I and II, that is important as an insertion position. This is contrary to the teachings of Santoro.

Furthermore, because an azobenzene group, an azobenzene derivative group, a spiropyran group, or a stilbene group does not occur naturally in DNA enzymes and as these groups are linked to the DNA enzyme by a synthetic skeleton in the claimed invention, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have expected that insertion of such groups into the catalytically active loop would decrease the activity of DNA enzyme based on the teachings of

Page 15 of 18

Reply dated March 4, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 04, 2009

Docket No.: 2114-0116PUS1

Art Unit: 1633 Page 16 of 18

Santoro.

In view of the discussion above, Applicants submit that it would not have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art to alter the azobenzene insertion point taught in Yamazawa by

modifying the catalytic loop domain with azobenzene, an azobenzene derivative, spiropyran, or

stilbene in order to arrive at the claimed invention, and there would have been no reasonable

expectation of such a modification being successful.

One of ordinary skill in the art would not have expected the advantages (high cleavage

activity and capability of being controlled by irradiation with UV light) of the claimed DNA

enzymes at the time the invention was made. A DNA enzyme disclosed by Yamazawa

corresponds to DNA-3A (disclosed in the present Specification as SEQ ID NO: 9), as both DNA

enzymes have an azobenzene group bonded at the same position, which, as shown in Table 3, is

four bases upstream of the 3' end of the catalytically active loop. DNA-1A, DNA-1B and DNA-

1C are DNA enzymes within the scope of the claimed invention, which have an azobenzene

group or azobenzene derivative group bonded to the terminal residue at the 3'-end of the

catalytically active loop domain. This is shown in Table 1. DNA-1A, DNA-1B and DNA-1C

have a higher RNA cleavage activity than native DNA enzyme (see Table 2) and they are

capable of being controlled by irradiation by UV light (see Table 4 with regard to DNA-1A and

DNA-1B).

The experimental results described in Table 4 (page 24 of the present Specification)

show that, in the absence of UV light irradiation, DNA-1A achieved 38.8% cleavage in 1 hour

and DNA-1B achieved 39% cleavage in 1 hour, whereas DNA-3A achieved only 18.5%

cleavage in 4 hours. Therefore, the results for DNA-3A, which corresponds to the DNA enzyme

disclosed by Yamazawa, were significantly worse than the results for DNA enzymes of the

claimed invention.

Furthermore, the activity of DNA-3A did not alter significantly in the presence or

absence of UV irradiation, with the difference in activity being only 6.2%. This is in contrast to

DNA-1A, wherein the difference in activity under the two opposing conditions was found to be

26.4% and DNA-1B, wherein the difference was found to be 17.3%. This indicates that a DNA

enzyme corresponding to DNA-3 is less capable of being controlled by irradiation with UV

light.

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

MSW/SAW:kml

Application No.: 10/590,777 Docket No.: 2114-0116PUS1 Art Unit: 1633

Reply dated March 4, 2010

Reply to Office Action of December 04, 2009

Page 17 of 18

It is submitted that this directly comparable data provides experimental evidence which

shows that a DNA enzyme falling within the scope of the claimed invention is technically

advantageous in terms of its activity and the ability to control its activity over the DNA enzyme

disclosed by Yamazawa.

Allowance of Corresponding European Patent Application

Applicants have been informed by the European Patent Office in a communication

dated October 27, 2009, that it intends to grant a European patent in corresponding European

Patent Application No. 05710653.6 (European Publication No. EP1724343). A copy of the

allowed claims in the corresponding European Application is attached for the Examiner's

convenience.

CONCLUSION

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or

rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all

presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and

complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present

application is in condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Stephanie A. Wardwell, Ph.D.,

Registration No. 48,025, at the telephone number of the undersigned below to conduct an

interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

MSW/SAW:kml

Application No.: 10/590,777 Reply dated March 4, 2010 Reply to Office Action of December 04, 2009 Docket No.: 2114-0116PUS1 Art Unit: 1633

Page 18 of 18

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Dated: March 4, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Marc S. Weiner

Registration No.: 32181

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

703-205-8000

Attachments: Certified English Translation of Japanese Patent Application No. 2004-055086

Allowed Claims for Corresponding EP Appl. No. 05710653.6

Sequence Listing

Electronic CRF copy of the Sequence Listing, file "2010-03-042114-

0116PUS1_ST25.txt"